Optimizing the configuration of X-by-Wire networks using word combinatorics

Nicolas NAVET - Bruno GAUJAL LORIA Lab. (Nancy, France) TRIO Team http://www.loria.fr/~nnavet

> EPFL Seminar Network Calculus Group

TTP/C – Time Triggered Protocol

- Designed at T.U. Vienna + TTTech
- TTP/C main technical characteristics:
 - Determinism
 - Fault-Tolerance
 - Composability
 - Support of mode changes

 \Rightarrow A good candidate for X-By-Wire ...

X-by-Wire

 Hydraulic and mechanical connection are replaced by networks and actuators

• Why ?

- Decrease of weight and cost
- Safety : intrusion of the steering column in the cockpit
- New functions : variable demultiplication crash avoidance
- Less pollution (brake / transmission liquid)

....

N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

X-by-wire : an example



A TTP/C Cluster



- Medium Access Control : TDMA
- Redundant transmission support
- Data rate: 500kbit/s, 1Mbit/s, 2Mbit/s, 5Mbit/s, 25Mbit/s
- Topology: bus or star

N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

TDMA – Time division Multiplexed Access



- Slot: time window given to a station for a transmission
- TDMA Round: sequence of slots s.t. each station transmits exactly once
- Cluster Cycle: sequence of the \neq TDMA rounds

TTP/C: Implications of the MAC protocol

Bounded response times and « heartbeats » but:

- Ioss of bandwidth
- need of powerful CPU's
- maximum timing contraint:
 - If a station sends a single information, the refresh cannot be more frequent than the length of a round
 - If a station sends several informations, the refresh cannot be more frequent than 2x the length of a round

Ex: 5ms time constraint - 500kbit/s network with 200 bits per frames - at most 12 frames (6 FTUs of two nodes) or 6 frames if the station sends 2 distinct informations

N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

FTU: Fault Tolerant Unit

FTU = set of stations that act identically



FTU: which protection ?

- Protection against:
 - disappearance of a station (crash, disconnection..)
 - corrupted frames (EMI)
 - sensors or computation errors

• ..

- Under the assumption of a single failure (TTP/C fault-hypothesis) :
 - A dual redundancy ensures a protection in « the temporal domain »
 - A triple redundancy ensures in addition a protection in « the value domain »
- Problem: history-state

N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

9

Goal of the study: maximize the robustness against transmission errors

Transmission errors are usually highly correlated



Application model



production cycle is a multiple of the length of a round

N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

11

Objective w.r.t. fail-silence

- A node is « fail-silent » if one can safely consume its data when the frame carrying the data is syntaxically correct
- Stations are fail-silent: « minimize Pall : the probability that all frames of the FTU sent during a production cycle will be corrupted »
- Stations are not fail-silent: « minimize Pone : the probability that at least one frame of the FTU will be corrupted»

Assumptions on the error model

- Each bit transmitted during an EMI will be corrupted with probability \mathcal{T}
- If a perturbation overlaps a whole slot, the corresponding frame is corrupted with probability 1
- Starting times of the EMI bursts are independent and uniformly distributed over time
- The distribution of the size of the bursts is arbitrary



N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

13

Objective 1 : Minimize Pone

Majorization - Schur-Convexity

• vector
$$u = (u_1, ..., u_n)$$
 majorizes $v = (v_1, ..., v_n)$ if:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{[i]} \quad k \leq n$$
with $(u_{[i]}, ..., u_{[n]})$ permutation of u s.t. $u_{[i]} \leq ... \leq u_{[n]}$
Example: $(1,3,5,10) \succ (2,4,4,9)$
• A fonction $f : \Re^n \to \Re$ is
Schur-convex if $u \succ v \to f(u) \geq f(v)$
Schur-concave if $u \succ v \to f(u) \leq f(v)$

N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

15

Minimizing Pone

 I(x) is the vector of the distance between replicas during the length of a round (sorted in ascending order)



Minimizing Pone

Theorem: the best allocation for Pone is to group together all replicas (denoted allocation g)

Arguments:

- Pone is shur-concave: $I(x') \succ I(x) \rightarrow P_{one}(x') \leq P_{one}(x)$
- I(g) is maximum for the majorization (equal to (0,0,...,S-k) with k the number of replicas of the FTU and S the number of slots per round)

N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

17

Minimize Pone

 Idea of the proof (step 1): the farther the beginning of an error burst from a replica, the less likely the replica becomes corrupted. « Non-grouped » allocations have more areas close to replicas



Minimize Pone

• Validity of the result :

- Arbitrary π value and burst size distribution
- Production period multiple of the round length
- for all TDMA networks

Combined minimization of Pone for all FTU's is possible

Robustness improvement: against a random allocation, the number of lost data is reduced from 15 to 20% on average

N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

19

Objective 2 : Minimize Pall TTP/C case

TTP/C : the majority rule

- Cliques: sets of stations that disagree on the state of the network
- Principle: to avoid cliques, stations in the minority disconnect (« freeze »)
- Mechanism: before sending, a station checks that in the last round (S slots), the number of correct messages is greater than the number of incorrect messages, otherwise it disconnects



If a station « freezes » due to transmission errors, the others follow one by one...

N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

21

TTP/C : minimize Pall

- Algorithm: 1) for each FTU *i* with C_i slots, push $\lceil C_i/2 \rceil$ slots in the smallest stack and $\lfloor C_i/2 \rfloor$ in the largest stack
 - 2) concatenate the two stacks
- Ex: FTU A: 3 replicas FTU B: 2 replicas FTU C: 4 replicas



N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

TTP/C : minimize Pall

Theorem: the « 2-stacks » algorithm is optimal under TTP/C

Arguments:

Case 1) a perturbation for each replica : identical \forall allocation Case 2) a perturbation can corrupt several replicas with a probability decreasing in the distance between the replicas. A burst of more than $\lfloor S/2 \rfloor$ slots freezes the system, now the algorithm ensures a distance of $\lfloor S/2 \rfloor$ slots

Corollary: it is useless to have more than 2 replicas per FTU if the probability to have more than one perturbation in the same round is sufficiently low

N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

23

Objective 2 : Minimize Pall TDMA case

Balanced words

A « balanced » word (or Sturmian word) is a binary sequence {u_n}_{n∈ℕ} s.t. :

$$\forall k, n, m \in \mathbb{N} \left| \sum_{i=n}^{n+k} u_i - \sum_{j=m}^{m+k} u_j \right| \leq 1$$

Balanced words are computed using bracket sequences :

$$u_n = \left\lfloor n\frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor (n-1)\frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor$$

where a/b is the rate of the word (nb of 1 / nb of 0)

Example: balanced word of rate 3/8

(0,0,1,0,0,1,0,1)

N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

25

Multimodular functions

Multimodularity [Hajek] : counterpart of convexity for discrete functions f : Z^m → ℝ

Definition : Let *F* be a set of m+1-vectors that sum to 0, a function $f : \mathbb{Z}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ is *F*-multimodular if $f(x+v) + f(x+w) \ge f(x) + f(x+v+w)$ $x \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ et $v, w \in F, v \neq w$

Example: x = (0,1,0,...,1,1,0) is a control sequence,
 f a cost function and *v* an elementary operation moving a client to the left

$$v = (0, ..., 0, 1, -1, 0, ..., 0)$$

Optimisation and multimodular function

• Global left shift operator : $s_i(x)$ ex: $s_2((0,1,0,1,1,0)) = (0,1,1,0,0,1)$

Theorem [Altman, Gaujal, Hordijk 97]: If *f* is multimodular then $G(x) = 1/m \sum_{i=1}^{m} f(s_i(x))$ (shift-invariant version of *f*) is minimum (among all admissible sequences) if x is a balanced sequence.

Theorem : If the size of the bursts is exponentially distributed then Pall is multimodular.

Moreover, Pall is equal to its shift invariant version thus Pall is minimum for a balanced sequence.

N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

27

Optimal algorithm : a single FTU

- FTU with C replicas of size h bits in a round of total size R bits
 - compute v_i balanced word of rate C/(R C(h-1))
 - *x* is the round initially empty
 - If $v_i = 1$ then $x := x + 1 \dots 1$ (*h* '1' concatenated)
 - If $v_i = 0$ then x := x + 0

Ex: FTU: 3 replicas of cardinality 3 in a round of size 14

 $v_i = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1)$ with rate 3/8

 $x = (0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1) \ (\neq \text{ balanced word with rate } 9/1)$



Optimal algorithm : several FTUs

- Problem : allocation conflicts Ex: FTU A: 3 replicas – FTU B: 2 replicas – FTU C: 1 replica $x_A = (0,1,0,1,0,1)$ rate 3/6 $x_B = (0,0,1,0,0,1)$ rate 2/6 $x_C = (0,0,0,0,0,1)$ rate 1/6
- An optimal allocation is still possible if
 - the number of cardinalities is a power of 2
 - all replicas have the same size
- Remark: a balanced sequence is minimum for the majorization order, it is thus the worst solution for Pone! Both objectives are contradictory

N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004

29

Heuristic : several FTUs

The rate of emission: number of bits that FTU A must emit on average during each bit of a round :

 $d_A = C_A h_A / R$

 At step i, one schedules the transmission of a frame of the FTU for which the number of due bits
 – number of already allocated bits is maximum

Pall : Heuristic vs random allocation

 Reduction of the number of lost messages w.r.t. a random allocation :



Pall : Heuristic vs optimal

 Increase of the number of lost messages w.r.t. to the optimal :



Conclusion

- Optimal and near optimal allocation policies for TDMA and TTP/C networks
- Choice of the locations of the slots have a strong influence on the robustness of the network
- The cost function plays a major role on the shape of the solution
- > Hypothesis on the error model are crucial

Future work :

- Configurations made of fail-silent and non fail-silent nodes (minimizing Pone and Pall for different FTU's)
- FlexRay protocol

N. NAVET - EPFL - 02/03/2004